

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the rejection of claim 24 is respectfully requested since the cited Morrow reference is not prior art effective under Section 103. That is because it is only applied under Section 102(e).


Since the present application and the cited Morrow reference are commonly owned and, at the time they were invented, each was under an obligation to assign to the assignee of the present application, the Morrow reference cannot be relied on as prior art under Section 103.

Therefore, the claims should now be in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Date:

11/9/05



Timothy N. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100  
Houston, TX 77024  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys for Intel Corporation